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**Background**

- A 65.5% increase in HA CDI between Q4 2016 and Q1 2017 prompted a multi-disciplinary response team including senior administration, the CMO, infection prevention (IP), environmental services, the hospital epidemiologist (HE), laboratory, pharmacy, emergency department (ED), and nursing to address the problem.
- Preventative measures already in place.

**Methods**

- Epidemic investigation included:
  - Identification and categorization of HA and CA CDI using NHSN/CDC guidelines.1
  - CA CDI: patient identified ≤3 days after admission to the facility
  - HA CDI: patient identified >3 days after admission to the facility (specifically, on or after day 4)
  - ED and House Wide case mapping
  - Molecular typing of isolates was performed to determine if this was a single-strain outbreak
- Multi-disciplinary team-driven interventions:
  - Quarterly terminal cleaning of the ED once the ED was determined to be a possible site of contamination
  - Improved CDI screening and testing based on stool testing audits
  - Intensified antimicrobial stewardship (AS) with mandatory education for key clinicians
  - Rigorously enhanced enforcement of hand hygiene in the ED with secret observers and directed feedback

**Assessments**

- Pre, mid, and fully-implemented intervention
- HA and CA CDI rates were observed
- Hand hygiene rates in the ED and House Wide were measured
- SIR per quarter was measured
- Ongoing anti-microbial use

**Results**

- **HA and CA Case Rate per 1000 Admissions**
  - HA and CA CDI rates after full-intervention in Q4 2017 and Q1 2018 trended toward baseline (2.1, 95% CI=0.5-5.93) but had not achieved statistical improvement
  - A significant correlation between HA CDI rates and CA CDI rates was not found, (r= 0.244, p<0.5)

**Timeline of Interventions and HA Cases per Month**

- **Pre-intervention**
  - No identified intervention
  - Stool Sample Testing Audit
  - ED Terminal Cleaning

- **Hand Hygiene**
  - **Phase 1:** Pre and Post intervention
  - **Phase 2:** Interventions

- **Antimicrobial stewardship**
  - **Phase 1:** Before and after the outbreak
  - **Phase 2:** Post-intervention

- **Hand Hygiene Pre-intervention and Post-intervention**
  - **Phase 1:** Pre and Post intervention
  - **Phase 2:** Interventions

**Conclusion**

95% of CA CDI and 98% of HA CDI were admitted through the ED. It appears that following each terminal clean, HA CDI rates subsequently drop (see Figure 1), suggesting the ED as a source of contamination; however, no linked cases were clearly identified via case mapping or molecular typing. Case mapping of CDI cases house wide showed CDI distributed throughout the hospital. Genotyping did not identify a single strain outbreak. The rate of CA CDI appeared to drive the HA CDI at the onset of the outbreak but then diverged as the HA CDI outbreak was controlled. A statistically significant increase in hand hygiene was noted. Antibiotic use did not vary greatly but appears to have trended lower as outbreak resolved. No one specific intervention was clearly responsible for control of the outbreak, as is typical with a “bundled” CDI prevention approach.
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